

ABSTRAK

Redy Juniawan, 2025. Tinjauan Yuridis Putusan Hakim Terhadap Pelaku Tindak Pidana Penganiayaan (Studi Putusan Nomor 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb). Ibu Dr. Nyimas Enny F.W, S.H., M.H dan Bapak Reza Iswanto, S.H., M.H.

Kata Kunci : Putusan Hakim, Tindak Pidana, Penganiayaan

Tinjauan yuridis terhadap putusan hakim dalam kasus penganiayaan, khususnya Putusan Nomor 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb, menganalisis pertimbangan hukum hakim dan penerapan hukum pidana serta kesesuaian dengan asas keadilan. Untuk itu, dalam penelitian ini akan membahas tentang dasar pertimbangan hakim dalam putusan hakim nomor 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb terhadap pelaku tindak pidana penganiayaan dan sanksi pidana dalam putusan hakim nomor 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb terhadap pelaku tindak pidana penganiayaan telah memenuhi rasa keadilan. Penulisan skripsi ini menggunakan metode penelitian yuridis normatif yang dikombinasikan dengan pendekatan studi kasus. Data yang digunakan dalam penelitian terdiri dari bahan hukum primer, sekunder, dan tersier. Pengumpulan data dilakukan melalui studi dokumen, sedangkan analisis data diterapkan dengan menggunakan metode analisis kualitatif. Hasil dari penelitian ini adalah Dasar pertimbangan hakim dalam putusan hakim nomor 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb terhadap pelaku tindak pidana penganiayaan adalah ada pertimbangan hakim yang memberatkan dan meringankan hanya saja dalam perkara ini hakim hanya mempertimbangkan hal-hal meringankan saja tanpa memperhatikan dampak yang dialami oleh korban dan Sanksi pidana dalam putusan hakim nomor 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb terhadap pelaku tindak pidana penganiayaan belum telah memenuhi rasa keadilan adalah belum memenuhi rasa keadilan karena terdakwa hanya dihukum berupa hanya 1 (satu) tahun 6 (enam) bulan, seharusnya terdakwa dijatuhkan sanksi pidana 2 (dua) tahun 8 (delapan) bulan karena sesuai dengan isi Pasal 351 Ayat (1) Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Pidana.

ABSTRACT

Redy Juniawan, 2025. Legal Review of Judges' Decisions Against Perpetrators of Criminal Acts of Assault (Study of Decision Number 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb). Dr. Nyimas Enny F.W, S.H., M.H. and Mr. Reza Iswanto, S.H., M.H.

Keywords: Judge's Decision, Criminal Act, Assault

A legal review of the judge's decision in the case of abuse, specifically Decision Number 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb, analyzes the judge's legal considerations and the application of criminal law and its conformity with the principles of justice. Therefore, this study will discuss the basis for the judge's considerations in the judge's decision number 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb against the perpetrator of the crime of abuse and the criminal sanctions in the judge's decision number 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb against the perpetrator of the crime of abuse have fulfilled the sense of justice. This thesis uses a normative legal research method combined with a case study approach. The data used in the study consists of primary, secondary, and tertiary legal materials. Data collection is carried out through document studies, while data analysis is applied using qualitative analysis methods. The results of this study are that the basis for the judge's consideration in the judge's decision number 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb against the perpetrator of the crime of assault is that there are aggravating and mitigating considerations from the judge, only in this case the judge only considered mitigating factors without paying attention to the impact experienced by the victim and the criminal sanctions in the judge's decision number 4/Pid.B/2025/PN Jmb against the perpetrator of the crime of assault have not fulfilled the sense of justice, namely that they have not fulfilled the sense of justice because the defendant was only sentenced to 1 (one) year 6 (six) months, the defendant should have been given a criminal sanction of 2 (two) years 8 (eight) months because it is in accordance with the contents of Article 351 Paragraph (1) of the Criminal Code..