

REFERENCES

- Ajzen, I. (1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211.
- Al-Fraihat, D., Joy, M., & Sinclair, J. (2020). Evaluating E-Learning Systems Success: An Empirical Study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 102, 67-86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.08.004>
- Ambrose, S. A., Bridges, M. W., DiPietro, M., Lovett, M. C., & Norman, M. K. (2010). *How learning works*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Anderson, K. (2015). Nursing management for the 21st Century. In S.S. Mason & M.L Sawyer (Eds.), *High-impact nursing management* (pp. 42-58). State University of New York Press.
- Anderson, T. (2003). Modes of Interaction in Distance Education: Recent Developments and Research Questions. In M. G. Moore (Ed.), *Handbook of Distance Education* (pp. 129-144). Routledge.
- Bloom, B. S. (1976). *Human characteristics and school learning*. McGraw-Hill.-
- Chadwick, A. (2013). *The hybrid media system: Politics and power*. Oxford University Press.
- Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Review. *IEEE Access*, 8, 75264-75278. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2988510>
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research Methods in Education* (8th ed.). Routledge.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (4th ed.). Pearson.
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models. *Management science*, 35(8), 982-1003.
- Dede, C. (2014). *The role of digital technologies in deeper learning. Students at the Center: Deeper Learning Research Series*. Jobs for the Future.
- Dixson, M. D. (2015). Measuring student engagement in the online course: The Online Student Engagement scale (OSE). *Online Learning*, 19(4), 143-157.

- Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School Engagement: Potential of the Concept, State of the Evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59-109. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543074001059>
- Fryer, L. K., Coniam, D., Carpenter, R., & Lăpuşneanu, D. (2020). Bots for language learning now: Current and future directions. *Language Learning & Technology*, 24(3), 1-13.
- Gravetter, F. J., & Wallnau, L. B. (2017). Statistics for the behavioral sciences 10th. Statistic for The Behavioral Science.
- Gunawan, W., Prasetyo, Y. T., & others. (2022). Indonesian students' motivation in online learning during COVID-19 pandemic: A survey study. *Education Sciences*, 12(3), 111. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12030111>
- Heffernan, N. T., & Heffernan, C. L. (2014). The ASSISTments ecosystem: Building a platform that brings scientists and teachers together for principled improvement of educational resources. In K. Sawyer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences* (2nd ed., pp. 220-241). Cambridge University Press.
- Henrie, C. R., Halverson, L. R., & Graham, C. R. (2015). Measuring student engagement in technology-mediated learning: A review. *Computers & Education*, 90, 36-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.09.005>
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Khairuddin, Z., Shahabani, N. S., Ahmad, S. N., Ahmad, A. R., & Zamri, N. A. (2024). Students' Perceptions on The Artificial Intelligence (AI) Tools as Academic Support. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (MJSSH)*, 9(11), e003087. <https://doi.org/10.47405/mjssh.v9i11.3087> (Catatan: Nomor halaman diulang pada sitasi asli, telah disesuaikan)
- Kousta, S.-T., Vigliocco, G., Vinson, D. P., Andrews, M., & Del Campo, E. (2014). The representation of abstract words: Why emotion matters. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 143(1), 110–122. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033936>
- Kuh, G. D., Kinzie, J., Buckley, J. A., Bridges, B. K., & Hayek, J. C. (2014). *Ensuring quality & taking high-impact practices to scale*. Association of American Colleges and Universities.
- Leavy, P. (2017). *Research design: Quantitative, qualitative, mixed methods, arts-based, and community-based participatory research approaches*. Guilford Press.

- Lee, M.-C. (2010). Explaining and predicting users' continuance intention toward e-learning: An extension of the expectation–confirmation model. *Computers & Education*, 54(2), 506-516.-
- Luckin, R. (2017). Towards artificial intelligence-based assessment systems. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 1(3), 0028.
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An argument for AI in Education*. Pearson.
- Martin, F., & Bolliger, D. U. (2018). Engagement matters: Student perceptions on the importance of engagement strategies in the online learning environment. *Online Learning*, 22(1), 205-222.
- Moore, M. G. (1989). Three types of interaction. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 3(2), 1-7.
- Neuman, W. L. (2014). *Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Norman, D. A. (2013). *The design of everyday things: Revised and expanded edition*. Basic Books.
- Pallant, J. (2013). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS* (5th ed.). Allen & Unwin.
- Pane, J. F., Steiner, E. D., Baird, M. D., & Hamilton, L. S. (2014). *Continued Progress: Promising Evidence on Personalized Learning*. RAND Corporation.
- Plass, J. L., Homer, B. D., & Kinzer, C. K. (2015). Foundations of Game-Based Learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 50(4), 258-283.
- Prinz, J. J. (2012). *The conscious brain: How attention engenders experience*. Oxford University Press.
- Rasheed, R. A., Kamsin, A., & Abdullah, N. A. (2020). Challenges in the online component of blended learning: A systematic review. *Computers & Education*, 144, 103701.
- Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2010). *Organizational Behavior*. Pearson.
- Roberts, B. W., Kuncel, N. R., Shiner, R., Caspi, A., & Goldberg, L. R. (2007). The power of personality: The comparative validity of personality traits, socioeconomic status, and cognitive ability for predicting important life outcomes. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 2(4), 313-345.

- Schwarz, N. (2012). Feelings-as-information theory. In P. A. M. Van Lange, A. W. Kruglanski, & E. T. Higgins (Eds.), *Handbook of theories of social psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 289-308). Sage Publications.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research methods for business: A skill-building approach* (7th ed.). Wiley.
- Setyosari, P. (2010). *Metode penelitian pendidikan dan pengembangan*. Kencana.-
- Sharples, M. (2016). Innovating pedagogy 2016: Open University innovation report 5. The Open University.
- Siemens, G., & Long, P. (2011). Penetrating the Fog: Analytics in Learning and Education. *EDUCAUSE Review*, 46(5), 30-32.
- Statistics Indonesia (Badan Pusat Statistik). (2022). *Penggunaan dan Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi pada Usaha/Perusahaan (Hasil Survei Penggunaan dan Pemanfaatan TIK pada Usaha/Perusahaan (SPPTIK) 2022)*. Badan Pusat Statistik.
- Sweller, J. (2011). Cognitive load theory. In J. Mestre & B. Ross (Eds.), *The psychology of learning and motivation: Cognition in education* (Vol. 55, pp. 37-76). Elsevier Academic Press.
- Teo, T., & Zhou, M. (2014). Explaining the intention to use technology among university students: A structural equation modeling approach. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 26(2), 124-142.-
- Unumeri, G. O. (2009). *Perception and conflict*. National Open University of Nigeria.
- Venkatesh, V., & Bala, H. (2008). Technology Acceptance Model 3 and a Research Agenda on Interventions. *Decision Sciences*, 39(2), 273-315.
- Weerasinghe, I. M. S., & Fernando, R. L. S. (2017). Students' satisfaction in higher education. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 5(5), 533-539.
- Yu, Z. (2021). The effects of gender, educational level, and personality on online learning outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18, 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00252-3>